## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/081,834	PARIDA, LAXMI P.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shubo (Joe) Zhou	1631	

	Shubo (Joe) Zhou	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affid al (with appeal fee) in complian	avit, or other evidence, v ce with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o	int of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	oe filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a br	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor		IOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	er form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally	rejected claims	
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116	-	rejected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		Compilant Amendment (	1 1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		e timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitted iii a separa	e, timely filed afficilative	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will no	t be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	oeal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but see continuation sheet.</li> </ol>			ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
	/Shubo (Joe) Zhou/		
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631		

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3(a), 3(b) and NOTE:

Independent claim 4 is amended to recite "providing at least said at least one new motif as an output, wherein said method is performed by a processor." This new limitation requires further consideration.

Furthermore, applicant did not provide, and the Office could not find, support thereof in the specification. This new limitation is therefore deemed new matter.

## Continuation of 11:

Since the argument is in large part based on the proposed amendment, and since the amendment has not been entered for reasons set forth above, the rejections set forth in the previous Office action stand for the same reasons set forth therein.

However, it should be pointed out that has the amendment been entered, the rejection would not be overcome by the new limitation because the new step does not clearly output the final result to a user so that the result is available to be used. Simply providing it as an output does not mean it is outputted to a user.